



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 09/816,797 03/23/2001 Samuel W. Linton 5315P002 5820 06/24/2005 **EXAMINER** James H. Salter KISS, ERIC B BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 2192 Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/816,797	LINTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric B. Kiss	2192
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
his application is abandoned in view of:		
. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>05 May 2004</u> . (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on		
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).		
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).		
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.		
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).		
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.		
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.		
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.		
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.		
7. 🖾 The reason(s) below:		
See Continuation Sheet	MA	1. ~
	WEI Y. ZI PRIMARY EX	

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20050617

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Examiner attempted to contact attorney of record James H. Salter on 17 June 2005, but was informed that Mr. Salter is no longer with the firm of Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP. Michael Goode, Reference Manager at said firm returned the Examiner's call, indicating that the client, Radiocosm, Inc. had been "transferred out and inactivated" on 23 October 2002. As no further reply or change of address has been filed, the application stands abandoned.